(22)

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR
W. P. (S) No. 4074 of 2007

Petitioner	1	Ku. Sanju Thakur, Aged 38 years, D/o Late Shri Paras Singh, Assistant Teacher Primary School, Son-Bhatha, District Rajnandgaon C.G.
	2.	Anand Kumari Yadav, Aged 43 years, D/o Late Shri KhorBhara Yadav, Assistant Teacher, Primary School, Chandri, Distt. Rajnandgaon C.G.
	3.	Ku. Anju Mishra, Aged 44 years, D/o Late Shri Radhey Shyam Mishra, Assistant Teacher, Primary School, Shandi, Distt. Rajnandgaon
	Versus	
Respondent	1.	The State Government of Chhattisgarh, Through the Secretary, Education Department, D.K.S. Bhawan, Raipur (C.G.)
	2.	The District Education Officer, Rajnandgaon (C.G)

SB: Hon'ble Mr. Justice Satish K. Agnihotri.

Shri Ajay Shrivastava, Advocate for the Petitioners.

Shri Arvind Dubey, Panel lawyer for the State/respondents.

ORDER

(Passed on this 13th day of July, 2007)

1. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioners as well as learned counsel appearing for the respondents/State jointly submit that the fact and question of law involved in the present petition are the same to that of in **Yashwant Kumar Bharadwaj Vs. Municipal Corporation, Durg and another** (2006 (II) MPJR-CG 96), which has been disposed of vide order dated 3.4.2006.

2. In subsequent cases, i.e. Writ Petition (S) No. 4130 of 2005 (Gopesh Kumar Verma Vs. The State Govt. of Chhattisgarh and

(23)

another) and other connected matters, decided by this Court on 15.12.2006, pursuant to the memo dated 28.1.1995, issued by the Secretary, Board of Secondary Education, Bhopal and the prospectus of Diploma in Education Examination, it was clarified that the nomenclature of the Basic Training Institute i.e. B.T.I. has been changed and renamed as Diploma in Education i.e. D.Ed. Accordingly, the D.Ed. Certificate holders are also covered by the decision in Writ Petition (S) No. 4130 of 2005.

3. Accordingly, the writ petition is allowed in terms of the order passed in the case of Yashwant Kumar Bharadwaj Vs. Municipal Corporation, Durg and another (supra), subject to verification of the fact asto whether the petitioners have acquired D.Ed. Examination Certificate on their own expenses as per the circulars dated 24.12.1998 and 1.3.1999 and further whether they have been paid two advance increments or not. No order as to costs.

Sd/-
Satish K. Agnihotri
Judge

Thakur